		EFORE THE BENNER TOWNSHIP BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  ORIGINAL	
1	BE	BOARD OF SUPERVISORS (INC.)	
2			
3	IN RE:	BELLEFONTE CAMPGROUND - CONDITIONAL USE HEARING	
5	BEFORE:	RANDY MOYER, CHAIRMAN	
6		THOMAS MOYER LARRY LINGLE	
-		RODNEY A. BEARD, BOARD SOLICITOR	
7		MICHAEL R. LESNIAK, ZONING OFFICER SHARON ROYER, SECRETARY/TREASURER	
8	HEARING:	SEPTEMBER 2, 2021	
9		7:02 P.M.	
10	PLACE:	BENNER TOWNSHIP MUNICIPAL BUILDING 1224 BUFFALO RUN ROAD	
11		BELLEFONTE, PA 16823	
12	REPORTER:	LISA L. RIVERA, RPR	
13		WITNESSES	
14	DONALD NAGEL	ALDY MICKED	
15	PETE NEBROSK	I KATHY EVEY	
16	JIM LANNING GREGORY THOM		
17	SUE LOUNSBUR ROBIN SUKLEY	Y JOHN ELNITSKI	
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23		LISA L. RIVERA, RPR	
24	P.O. Box 76 Millheim, Pennsylvania 16854		
25		(814) 769-3497 Crorter94@gmail.com	
	1		

			2
1		EXHIBITS	
2			
3	NUMBE	R DESCRIPTION	PAGE
4	Ex. M	-1 Application request for Conditional Use Hearing	52
5	Ex. M		52
6	Ex. N	·	52
7	Ex. C	agent name list	52
8	LA. C	of State business registration	
0	Ex. F	<b></b>	52
9	Ex. Ç	CNN Money - U.S. sues cancer centers article	52
10	Ex. R		52
11		Baltimore, LLC vs. Colkitt	
4.0	Ex. S		52
12	Ex. T Ex. U	•	52 52
13	EX. C	United States; Rahman; and	J.Z.
		Colkitt, Colkitt P.C.S., et al.	
14	Ex. V		52
15		raise at Board of Supervisors	
		meeting	
16	Ex. W	8/2/21 Benner Township Board of Supervisors meeting - correction	52
17		of the record submitted by Kathy	
18	Ex. X	Evey 9/2/21 Marina Elnitski letter	53
	Ex. Y	2 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	53
19	Ex. Z	Recreation.gov webpage; Concordia, Kansas campground	53
20		webpage; and Airport RV Park,	
21	Ex. P	Carthage, NC webpage 4-1 Google Maps	53
<u>~ 1.</u>	Ex. E		53
22		residents opposing development	

(Exhibits retained by Mr. Beard.)

CHAIRMAN R. MOYER: I'd like to call the Benner Township Supervisors Meeting for September 2nd to order. As always, we start with the Pledge of Allegiance to the flag.

PROCEEDINGS

(Pledge of Allegiance recited.)

moving along here, we have a Conditional Use Hearing continuance for the Bellefonte campground, and we're here to -- again I'm going to have Rodney get this started because it's -- it's more definition of legal uses and stuff so -- then John is here. He can get -- represent himself and whatever and we'll just get it started.

MR. BEARD: Very good, yes. This is the continuation of the Conditional Use Hearing that started at last month's meeting on August 2nd, 2021. There is a number of public persons who wanted to present testimony at that public hearing, so that hearing was continued until this meeting at the request of many of the people who felt they needed more time to get information for the Supervisors to consider.

21.

Following the August 2nd hearing -- initial Conditional Use Hearing, the continuance was advertised in accordance with the Municipalities Planning Code. The advertisements were published on August 19th and August 26th and it seems that there was some effort of people to become aware of the fact that this continued hearing was taking place. So there -- the publication requirements and posting of the property, and I did see the property was posted, so those are the requirements of the public notice for this hearing.

And, again, I think we would -- it would be appropriate at this continued hearing for the applicant if the applicant desires to make any additional statements or address any of the concerns that were brought up at the last hearing. And if you do -- you know, if there's any other information you want to put into the record, there were certain exhibits and so on put into the record at the last hearing -- at the first hearing on this.

And when I reviewed the transcript and the exhibits, I did want to point out that there's not actually a plan or your application was not actually in the record from the last hearing. So I would recommend that we at least make sure we get your

application and -- and the formal plan into the record at this hearing.

1.8

So, again, with those items, I think it would be appropriate for the applicant to go ahead and proceed, address anything that the applicant wishes to at this point, and then members of the public can raise any -- any additional concerns.

at the last hearing, try not to be repetitive.

Obviously we don't want this to drag on too long, but people who have legitimate concerns certainly should have the opportunity to get them in the record.

Anybody who wishes to speak, please understand that you will need to state your name for the record so the court stenographer can get it on the record and please understand that if there is actual factual testimony going to be given, you would be sworn to tell the truth as to any factual testimony that would be given.

So does that sound all right as far as going --

CHAIRMAN R. MOYER: Yeah.

MR. BEARD: Very good. I think it's appropriate for Mr. Elnitski and his consultant to have an opportunity to present any additional

6 1 information they wish to present. 2 MR. ELNITSKI: I'd ask why our stuff wasn't 3 put in the record at the last meeting? MR. BEARD: You didn't present it. I'm 4 5 sorry, you never -- I presented several exhibits at the end of the meeting, but I didn't actually have 6 7 your application with me, you had it. So if you would like to present it and get it into the record, 8 9 you'd certainly be welcome to do that. 10 MR. ELNITSKI: All right. I thought the 11 township had it. 12 MR. BEARD: The township -- the township does have it, but it's not actually up to the 13 township to make the record. The parties involved 14 15 make the record. 16 MR. ELNITSKI: Okay. 17 MR. BEARD: And we can --18 MR. ELNITSKI: Can we use the copy that we 19 submitted to the township? 20 MR. BEARD: Yes, you can, absolutely. 21 MR. ELNITSKI: We'd like to present that 22

MR. ELNITSKI: We'd like to present that with a -- with correction. I think one of -- somebody made the mistake of using the term clubhouse in the wording, but on the plan it actually says store and office. So there's not a clubhouse like

23

24

25

you would think of a clubhouse. I think they used
that term just to shorten it instead of saying store
and -- but on the plan it actually shows store and
office. So if you could enter those with that

correction.

At this point, I would rather present at the end. We already presented once. Let you have the comments and then we -- I have some things to present, additional information that might address the same things. So if you could have your -- you know, your public comment first and then I'll -- then I'll -- we'll present.

CHAIRMAN R. MOYER: Okay. And, again, we want to — we don't want to cut anyone off, but if it becomes too long we have — we'll have to. I mean, we have regular township business to do yet tonight, too. So, you know — and like this solicitor said, you know, try to get to the point and if someone else already stated something that you want to say if it's already there, it's already in the record, we have it to review.

So with that, I'm going to go from front to back, from this side to that side. So people that would like to address the -- the board here in the -- in the front row, anybody?

Okay. The next row. You need to state your name and address and that so the stenographer can hear you.

MR. NAGEL: My name is Donald Nagel. My address is 106 Salix Drive, Bellefonte, PA. At the last meeting, I asked John if he was the only one proposing this and he said no, he had a partner. And I believe at that time he mentioned that it was Camptel Poconos, LLC. Am I correct in that statement?

MR. ELNITSKI: I'm not going to answer any questions, just let him present. I don't want to do the back and forth that we had.

CHAIRMAN R. MOYER: Okay.

MR. NAGEL: Well, that's what I was led to believe and at that point in time, I had asked you, Mr. Moyer, if there was any intention or consideration to vetting that company or partnership. That was — you mentioned that it was not necessary from your point of view. However, there's some things that came to light and I said I had some documents to present and you said that I could present those, and I have them here today.

First of all, I went to the Division of Corporations for the Department of State for the

1 | State of Florida, and I pulled up that name and along

2 | with that name came 114 entries of different

3 | corporations that are set up in Florida under a

4 | Douglas Colkitt, Dr. Douglas Colkitt, and I have that

document to submit here if you want to take that

6 | first or should I give it to you?

One of the items on there is Camptel

Poconos Limited, LLC. And it lists the registered

agent as a Dr. Douglas R. Colkitt and gives an

address of 3118 Dickson -- Dick Wilson Drive,

Sarasota, Florida, 34240. I'd like to submit that

document. That comes from Bizapedia.

On that address, 3118 Dick Wilson Drive, Sarasota, Florida, there are 27 companies listed at that address, all to do with Dr. Colkitt and/or one of his family members because the Colkitt name comes up on all of them, and I would like to submit that document.

With that in mind, I did further research and found that there was litigation. This comes from CNN Money, U.S. sues cancer center, and named in there is Dr. Douglas Colkitt. I'd like to submit that document.

There is also a document from the United States District Court for the District of Maryland,

Baltimore, plaintiff versus Dr. Douglas R. Colkitt, defendant. I would like to submit that document.

The Baltimore Sun had an article written about lawsuit alleges massive fraud; government seeks 50 million dollars in damage. Named in that is Dr. Douglas Colkitt.

The New York Times, Street Smarts section, wrote an article, I have it right here, Stay Clear of the Jungle that Dr. -- Dr. Douglas Colkitt has grown.

I'd like to submit that article.

Also I have here is a 63-page document on the settlement agreement between the United States, Rahman and Colkitt and Colkitt P.C.S., et al. I'd like to submit that document.

And it was stated that at the last meeting that John said he was trying to be a friendly member of the community. I think the board should consider vetting the partnership or the man he's bringing in under the name of that company and is that a man and a company we want doing business in our backyard. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN R. MOYER: Thank you. Going across that row, anybody else in that row? Okay. The next row, Tom's row.

MR. NEBROSKI: My name is Pete Nebroski. I

live two miles down the road here. I made the opportunity to drive up to the airport and look at how this was going to be fit in there. I went into the township office, I looked at the drawings. I tried to come to an understanding of what they were going to do.

1.3

I looked at pictures of the -- these mini-homes that they're bringing in. And it seems to me that it's -- I don't know about the zoning, but what I can tell you it seems to be out of character with what we're looking for here in Benner Township and I looked at it, I saw where the things were going to be placed, I saw the people's homes that were going to be -- property abuts against.

I just -- I -- I can't see it as being something that we would want in this township and that's in all respect to the people who own the -- the airport. I understand they have private property rights and that they should be able to do whatever they want with it, but it seems to me that if there's not some sort of regulation against what they're proposing, then there should be. And that's all I'm going to say.

MR. LANNING: My name is Jim Lanning. I'm a Planning Commissioner here. I live in Benner, 1088

West Water Street. I am on the water commission here. I have listened to John a couple of times.

I understood that the reason we were first asked to vote about it as a water guy is for financing purposes. We were doing a planning thing and as I am a Planning Commissioner also here in Benner, and it was for finance purposes.

I believe that we all should think about what we're trying to do, where we're trying to do it, and whether it's a benefit to the community regardless of the rules that we have that are enforced by others to cause us to do what we do.

That's my statement and thank you for your time.

CHAIRMAN R. MOYER: Anybody else in that row? Yes.

MR. THOMAS: My name is Gregory Thomas, and I did some additional research on the use of campgrounds near airports and that have runways, active runways, and I did find some. There's even one in Sunbury that has a -- I believe it's a grass strip you can land your plane and tent there. But what I didn't find is campgrounds on runways with an airport that provides pilot training and flight instruction to individuals who are in training.

And I think that having a campground with

potentially hundreds of people living there 500 feet

from a runway where flight instruction takes place is

a safety concern. So that's my statement.

CHAIRMAN R. MOYER: Okay. Thank you.

Anyone else in that row? Okay. The next row.

Anybody?

MS. LOUNSBURY: My name is Sue Lounsbury. I live at 1023 Seibert Road. We were unable to be here at the last meeting. I just want to make sure that the letter that we sent to the board was actually part of the record.

MS. ROYER: It is.

MS. LOUNSBURY: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN R. MOYER: Go ahead.

MR. SUKLEY: My name is Robin Sukley. I'm with the Pennsylvania Bureau of Aviation, PennDOT.

We have some safety concerns with the campground at the airport. The department does have a land use guidance for compatibility. We don't think a campground meets that. There's things in there about smoke and fire and lights and crowds that we don't think would be compatible at — at an airport.

Also there's a violation of -- possible violation of the FAA's Part 77, Transitional

Surfaces. Those are all the invisible surfaces
around that airport that you try to keep objects out
of.

There's no -- no cooperation or communication from the applicant with the BOA, there's no plan or details that we've reviewed, and there's no discussion that's taken place so far. As to the grant that the land was purchased with, it prohibits nonaviation use. So we would think a campground would be a nonaviation use.

If the plan is approved by the BOA, we will pursue reimbursement for that. The -- the grant requires the BOA to consent to any lease. If he's going to lease this to somebody to put this campground on, I don't know what his plans are because we haven't had any communication at this point. So if he does want to lease it, the BOA must give him consent to lease it.

Future grants and funding could be at risk if the campground is built. And I can submit this if you would like. Do you want my card?

MR. BEARD: Yes, please.

COURT REPORTER: I'd like one, too, please.

MR. SUKLEY: I'm retiring this December, so I have lots of cards to give out.

CHAIRMAN R. MOYER: Next row back, anybody else want to speak? We know you do. I want to make sure everyone else does first.

MS. EVEY: Well, I'm -- I'm okay with that.

MR. TUCKER: Alex Tucker, 686 Buffalo Run
Road. I don't know if this will be answered at a
later part of the presentation or not, but when it
was brought up earlier about having the park model
campers, the glamping so to speak, that's a pretty
big term. I looked at park model campers online just
doing like a quick Google search, like 30 seconds.
There are campers that are park model like a
traditional camper and there are park model campers
that are a full functioning tiny home where you can
occupy it 12 months out of the year, including
Pennsylvania.

So I guess -- in the later presentation I guess I want to know is this something that's going to be like leased 12 months out of the year where we're going to have, you know, 60 tiny homes in our backyard basically subleased full year-round or is it going to be seasonal, like say April to October, November, whatever camp -- campgrounds are traditionally open for.

So I don't know if that's something to look

into or I'm kind of curious to know. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN R. MOYER: Anybody else back there? Okay. Kathy. Keep it shorter.

MS. EVEY: I will try my best. I promise.

Okay. First of all, I would like to clarify -
COURT REPORTER: First of all, state your

name.

1.2

MS. EVEY: Oh, I'm sorry. Kathy Evey, 604
Buffalo Run Road. I live right at the corner of 550
and Snowbird Lane, the access road to the airport.

First of all, I would like to correct the record from the last meeting in regard to my mother, and I did give Sharon a copy of the letter that my mother wrote to be shared with everyone here tonight, that at the August 2nd meeting that it was stated that she is in agreement with the proposed RV camp site at the Bellefonte Airport, and this is totally incorrect. She is not in favor of it at all. As well as she does not want any changes to Snowbird Lane, which is on mine and my brother's property, which was my parents' farm when the Dunlaps purchased the airport.

And so she was one of the original owners in which the Dunlaps did request a private right of way access to the airport. So it was my parents'

understanding that that's what it would be used for, and we have no problem, none whatsoever, with the traffic just going to the airport.

And there is a recorded maintenance agreement that states that no one can be forced to pay for any upgrades on the road by any individuals that use the road or live along the road. That if there's upgrades that are wanted and the people do not want to participate in that, no one can force them to pay a portion of that.

And also due to the increase of traffic, the noise, the disturbances in our quiet neighborhood and the intrusion of our private environment, she wants it put on record that she totally opposes this project.

So do you need this copy, Sharon?
MS. ROYER: It's in the file.

MS. EVEY: Okay. So that was the first thing. The other thing -- and do you want to open that up, please, Jared. The other thing is in regard to the other RV park in Benner Township, there is really technically no RV park in Benner Township. It is strictly storage.

It started originally with a bunch of RVs when Penn State was allowing campers to be brought in

by one individual and they could rent it out at -for tailgating at the weekend at the stadium. And
when they stopped that, the person who was doing that
still has campers parked there at the RV parking lot,
which is up Rockrimmon Drive, which is a state -- not
a state, but a -- a township regulated street that
services business. It's a wide street right off of
the Benner Pike.

It also branches off to Wyndham Court or something like that to the apartments to the right and you go on up to J & E Guns and powder coating building and the parking is there and there is a sign right there at the -- these are pictures of -- of the park and the road that goes up to it, but here is a picture of the sign and it clearly states that straight ahead is parking for J & E Guns and off to the side and it is called goPSUrv return parking.

It's all gravel. There's -- there's weeds growing up. There might be three or four electric service boxes there that the person who pays for storage there installed the electric service because of keeping some of the generators charged and what -- batteries and things. It sure didn't look very inviting to me as a -- as an RV park.

Okay. So the -- and that park actually

sits on Benner and Spring Townships, so only part of it in is Benner township.

1.0

The right of way agreement for Snowbird

Lane is a full right of ingress, egress and regress
in, over upon the farm lane of the grantors presently
extending from Pennsylvania Route 550 in a southerly
direction to the buildings of the grantors for access
to the above-described premises, which is the
Bellefonte Airport. And this farm lane is Snowbird
Lane.

That is identified in the deeds to all of the adjoining property owners with the exception of parcel 19, and it was also not included in the deed of 19A. 19A and 19 were merged together at some point in time. I do have all of that documentation, but I'm trying to be quick. And they have a right of way for those properties to Raymonds Lane. There is a 50-foot right of way off of 19 and it shows on this map right here, goes out to Raymonds Lane, and that has been described in both — and then there's a second right of way for one of those lots that were consolidated by the Dunlaps.

So lot 19 never used the Snowbird Lane to access it other than the tractors and the farm equipment who were farming that -- that piece of

property. And it is not in their deed, but it is in every other deed for us; the airport, mine, Frantz's

3 and my brother's property.

1.8

Then also in connection with that, there was a deed that was recorded that includes -- and I believe it is an Exhibit B from the first meeting, that includes parcel 20, which is the airport and parcel 19, which is where the proposed park is supposed to go.

Only one of those parcels shows that
Snowbird Lane is the access to that parcel and that
is parcel 20. It is still not listed as access to
parcel 19. So, again, the right of way that can be
used for that is to Raymonds Lane. And in 2011, and
I went back and I pulled the minutes from all of the
meetings and the hearings and everything, and at that
time it -- it was to include 19 and 20 for the
widening of the runway and there was a proposed
taxiway which would have been between 20 and 19 and
stormwater management, and there was an issue with
having the proper footage for setbacks.

So I guess I'm a little confused because I understood in the code that if you apply for a specific use for a property and you're given permission for that, you can't come back later and

change that use. That's a question for Mr. Beard.

I -- I mean, maybe there's better clarification on that, but that was my understanding in -- in reading that. And everything -- and -- and, again, that parcel 19 on the decision that was made at that time it says, and I quote, improvements described in said application to be performed on Centre County parcel 12-317-019 and 12-137-20. I'm not sure if I have that parcel number right, but that was the airport, is approved. So it was approved to be used as like one parcel so that the setbacks and everything could be complied with.

And we were told at the -- or in July, July of '21, when Mr. Beard referenced at the time both parcels were being considered as part of the airport, Mr. Elnitski stated that the two parcels are separate. So it's -- again it's this flip-flopping. They're either together or they're not together, but something needs to be clarified. And if they're not together, then I have serious questions about stormwater management because there is an agreement with the township for stormwater management from that last project that includes parcel 19.

So if that includes parcel 19, that was included in the 2011 project. Even though the

between 20 and 19 was put in, the additional ten foot to widen the runway, and then there would have been the stormwater runoff and there would have been the setback. And it was pretty clear at that time that the setback — or that 19 was needed for the setback because of the stormwater management.

And mister -- from the BOA touched upon the issue about the land purchase and acquisition being used -- purchased with -- with grant money. I have all the documents regarding that as well.

And everything that has been done up to this point, even in -- in front of the 2011 project, all of the documents as far as from the Zoning Hearing Board and the Supervisors, decisions have clearly stated that these -- these improvements will not alter the essential character of the neighborhood nor be detrimental to the public welfare.

And I agree with the gentleman that said about the community that it is a concern and it is a detriment, not just for the properties right there along Snowbird Lane, the properties on Raymonds Lane and the developments at that end. And we have an elementary school that is maybe if you walk across the fields might be a little over I think Mike said

at the last meeting like five hundred and some feet, the property lines were distanced. That's pretty close for a bunch of elementary kid -- kids when you don't know who is coming into the park.

And my next question in regard to that is how are we going to be assured the safety and protection because from 2011 until just, what, last week, the fence was never put back up along the back property lines of the Seibert Road homes and the airport runway.

And so if that is how quickly we do security and safety measures, I don't think we can risk having a hundred potential strangers coming into our community not knowing who they are, where they're from or exactly why -- why there they're whether it's a football game or not.

So the other thing is there is a rise and a concern with the NHS in regard to rural areas like ours with small rural airports like the Bellefonte Airport, and let's just make a scenario here. We have a home football game and all these people fly in. So we have 40 people flying in to stay in the tiny homes and we have 60 RV people coming. So we've got a hundred weekend rentals so to speak.

There is an extreme increase of concern

with human trafficking, sex slaves, drug exchanges. So who is to say that they don't fly in any one of those three things or even drive in any one of those three things and someone comes in — in an airplane as if they were going to stay at a tiny little home and their little deal is exchanged and they each go

21.

their happy way.

I don't think we want that kind of activity in our neighborhood. We have finally again small children in our neighborhood and again we have the elementary school there.

So I -- I know that legally you have the right to Conditional Use, but legally the township has the right to put conditions on that. And I think after just thinking about all of this with just my own ramifications, I -- I have drafted a list of things that I would like to go on record for consideration as conditions to that.

CHAIRMAN R. MOYER: Kathy, can you just put it in with Rodney and they'll go in?

MS. EVEY: I gave it to Sharon, but -- and I'm sure that there's many others that some of the people here might want to add to that list after they -- they think about it, but I think there's a lot of things that -- that really need to be

considered for all of us, plus the township.

I mean, and at this point my firm belief is that there is no reason to have this RV park without it being, you know, guaranteed of safety. How do we know there's going to be a fence around it? There was not a fence put back from almost ten years ago.

And, secondly, there is a right of way that can be accessed from -- from lot 19 and that's where I think they should access it to. With the increase in the traffic there is a state or a township regulated street right there that would service that traffic much better than the private little farm lane. And that's all I have to say. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN R. MOYER: Okay. Noted.

MR. LANNING: Randy, can I add one thing that's pretty important? We know --

CHAIRMAN R. MOYER: State your name.

MR. LANNING: Again I'm James Lanning. I'm a planning and water guy here for Benner. Okay.

1088 West Water Street. That's my residence in Benner.

Randy, it's not fair to you guys to have to come up with every condition necessary to keep this thing safe. There's no way you all have the knowledge to be able to put the right conditions

together in order to keep it safe. There's not enough experts in the world here, including the FAA, to work that problem for you. And I don't think you're paying them to do this.

Okay. You've heard what the public had to say and what we had to say because that's your job, that's what you do. You're the one that's elected. Okay. I need to ask the counselor a question of I see a document here that's been highlighted that was over by the entry to the building here. Okay. What's the origin of that and who's the author?

MS. EVEY: I did it.

MR. LANNING: It's a nice job.

MS. EVEY: Thank you.

MR. LANNING: I needed to know where it came from.

MS. EVEY: I did it.

MR. LANNING: Thank you. That's my question and that's why I said what I said.

MS. EVEY: Excuse me, Randy, I do have one more thing that was a concern and it was brought up at the last meeting, that was the view from Seibert Road. I did go out to some of the properties out there in their backyards and on their decks and took pictures.

2.

And it's really kind of hard to envision what it would be like throughout the year because the corn is higher than I can ever imagine. I can't even see the house or most of the airport from the back of my house, and that's never been that way, but without the corn, I -- I do think that the RV park would be visible to those people and I -- and especially the former Mrs. Hartle, who is now Mrs. Houser, who sits up on the -- the hill back off the side of -- of the houses there on Raymonds Lane and behind this parcel, she will be looking straight down on that.

And you can see her house from the Seibert Road properties and the farm there and this was the other Hartle farm here and here. And if you look at this clearly, this is the fence, this is the security fence that is on the back property lines. Any rabbit or skunk or a deer could jump over that. My grandson would climb over that in a second.

But that is -- I -- I could not believe that that was the safety fence between the runway and those properties. And as well I could see Linda Jacka-Frantz's house very clearly and she has two RVs parked right there that you can clearly see, there's the RVs and then here of course is the airport.

This house right here (indicating) is

actually on Route 550, three houses down from me. So
if you can see that from those backyards, you're
certainly going to see the RV park very clearly from
those backyards.

MR. NEBROSKI: Pete Nebroski, I'm on Stillhouse Lane, just down the road about two miles.

I hear what you're saying because I saw -when I went over and I looked at it and I saw the
runway and I saw the -- the comments made about
installing either the little houses or the RVs down
in that ditch in order to keep it from being visible,
these ditches are there for a reason. If we had rain
with those RVs down in that ditch like we had this
week, they'd be sitting in a lake. That that was
there for a reason, and that's a retention pond, and
that's exactly why they're taking advantage of that.

They think that they're going to put these places down in this hole and no one is going to see them. Well, some people are going to see them, but more than anything else, they're going to be sitting in a small pond.

CHAIRMAN R. MOYER: Anybody else?

MS. A. WINDER: Ariana Winder --

COURT REPORTER: I'm going to need you to

1 | stand up and speak up, please.

MS. A. WINDER: Ariana Winder. I own property off of Buffalo Run and Armagast. I was just curious, was this -- you're referencing this highlighted list, was this submitted to the record?

MS. EVEY: Yes.

CHAIRMAN R. MOYER: Yes.

MS. A. WINDER: Okay. So if this is submitted to the record, I would recommend that the township Supervisors review 14, no firearms, and I recommend that the solicitor to look up the Pennsylvania no gun laws and the fact that you likely won't find one.

If someone is lawfully carrying a firearm and has a permit to carry in the State of Pennsylvania, unless someone explicitly goes to them and tells them that they need to leave the property and you want to enforce that via trespassing laws, it's not permissible in the State of Pennsylvania. So I recommend that you review those laws in -- if you're going to consider this list.

MS. EVEY: It's just a draft.

MS. A. WINDER: Sure. Absolutely. And I didn't really think I would have to respond to this, but I can have my gun trust lawyer also --

MS. EVEY: I have a permit, too.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. A. WINDER: But thank you.

MS. K. WINDER: Kristiana Winder. I'm clearly her sister and I live on 177 Stanford Drive. My question is like what would anyone here do if, you know, like the power outages in Louisiana and Puerto Rico and Philly and New Jersey, what would anyone do if you guys don't put an RV park? Because transmission power line workers, they don't own houses half the time. So if you were to lose your transmission power lines that feed this building, feed the Giant you guys are putting in, feed any of the businesses that run Benner Township, who would fix them? You're not going to fix them. I'm not going to fix them. I don't know how to do that.

However my fiancé is a transmission power line worker. He has done it for close to 15 years and we don't own like a -- like a home per se. We own a camper. So wherever we go, that's our home. I grew up in this township. So for me to come home, like I either have to live at my sister's or at my other sister. I just had a kid. Like there's no RV parks. He's been in Puerto Rico for four months doing power lines. He goes to New Jersey doing power lines.